# August 13, 2017

Ms. Lise Betteridge – Registrar Ontario College of Social Workers and Social Service Workers 250 Bloor Street East, Suite 1000 Toronto, Ontario M4W 1E6 Phone: 1-877-828-9380 Ext. 225

Fax: 416-972-1512

Email: <a href="mailto:lbetteridge@ocswssw.org">lbetteridge@ocswssw.org</a>

# Dear Registrar

Thank you for your response to my correspondence dated April 21, 2016. This is a reply to your response, though a bit delayed. I hope you can appreciate that my life was turned upside down by unaccountable Social Workers and that the effects of such contribute to extended periods in my life whereby I am incapacitated for various reasons.

At any rate, you broke your response down into three main sections to which I will reply in the same fashion for the sake of clarity.

### Concern #1:

I do not accept your statements.

I have been trying to get accountability for several issues, for several years now, and the staff at the Ontario College of Social Workers and Social Service Workers (OCSWSSW) choose to mince words and to further arbitrarily select parts of the legislation that best suits the OCSWSSW and/or its employees while refusing to acknowledge the important and obvious parts of the legislation that protects the public interest.

You stated to me in your response that you "trust that this summary will demonstrate that in fact the college has noted your correspondence." It does demonstrate that the College has 'noted' my concerns' Ms. Betteridge, however, it fails to demonstrate that the College has taken those concerns seriously, much less further acted upon them. Why would you note something if it's of no importance and if it is of importance why wouldn't you act on it?

I'm having a genuinely difficult time accepting that the staff at the OCSWSSW are as daft and inept as they appear to be in protecting public interest. It seems to me that there is concerted effort, on behalf of some of the staff within the OCSWSSW, to act nefariously and contrary to public interest!

The OCSWSSW is mandated to REGULATE the practice of social work. In other Canadian Provinces, and to anyone with basic common sense really, this is understood to mean that anyone who practices social work must be regulated by a provincially prescribed College regardless of what one refers to themselves as, or holds themselves out to be.

The OCSWSSW is NOT regulating the practice of social work from what I have personally observed.

The OCSWSSW is only (presumably) governing its members. **Ontario Legislation clearly states that the OCSWSSW MUST regulate the practice of social work <u>AND</u> govern its members. The OCSWSSW is only partially fulfilling its mandate, in my opinion, when actively refusing to regulate the practice of social work.** 

I informed you, Ms. Betteridge, in my correspondence dated April 12, 2016, that I intended to practice social work when I become able. You authorized me, without any terms and/or conditions, do so. How can you explain this decision to be in the best interest of the public? Have you no concern whatsoever regarding my self proclaimed merits? Have you verified that I am mentally stable? Did you make sure that I was not a criminal? What if I was a pedophile or a murderer? You gave me full permission to enter into peoples lives under the guise of a Social Worker without knowing any crucial facts about me or my history.

It seems that nothing stops me from gaining the trust of the public and even entering into private homes, with unrestricted access to children and/or other vulnerable members of society, simply by indicating that I'm authorized by the Registrar OCSWSSW to practice social work, even if that authorization is by mere technicality. I could go as far providing prospective clients with a copy of the letter that I received from you to verify that I am, indeed, authorized by the OCSWSSW to practice social work.

This is unbelievably shocking to me and I believe that this sort of behaviour most definitely generates a completely unavoidable public risk. In my opinion the OCSWSSW is NOT protecting public interest whatsoever in this regard and is, in fact, further jeopardizing public safety by allowing extremely questionable ongoing operational practices.

This is the point that I've been trying to make to the OCSWSSW all along. The OCSWSSW is failing in its mandate and it is putting the public at risk with that failure! It truly blows my mind, for lack of a better phrase, that this concept is so hard for you and others within the OCSWSSW to grasp! Really!

It's literally disgraceful to witness this sort of abuse of authority Ms. Betteridge. I believe that you must be completely incompetent in your role as Registar for the OCSWSSW or that you are willingly facilitating corrupt practices. It has unfortunately boiled down to these two options in my own mind, based on my direct interactions with you and/or other staff at the OCSWSSW and my own interpretations of Ontario Legislation!

# Concern #2:

You state that it is unlawful for someone to hold themselves out to be a Social Worker, expressly or by implication, if they are not a registered member of the OCSWSSW. This is exactly why I lodged a complaint against William Sweet for using the abbreviation "M.S.W" in his letterhead thereby holding himself out, by implication, to be a Social Worker. I understand that the abbreviation "M.S.W" is a representation of William Sweet's academic achievements, however, I believe that William Sweet intentionally used this representation to hold himself out to be, by implying to others, that he was a Social Worker. Why else would a person advertise that they have a Masters Degree in Social Work if they weren't implying that they are a Social Worker?

The OCSWSSW rejected my complaint, stating that because William Sweet is not now, nor has ever been, a member of the OCSWSSW, that the OCSWSSW must refuse to proceed with my complaint.

The excuse that I received from the OCSWSSW when my complaint regarding William Sweet was rejected absolutely conflicts with the information that you provided to me Ms. Betteridge!

Why then did the OCSWSSW refuse to proceed with my complaint against William Sweet? Please clarify!

#### Concern #3:

### I INTEND TO REFER TO MYSELF AS A SOCIAL WORKER IN THE FUTURE.

In my previous correspondence to you I indicated that I would practice social work while refraining from referring to myself as Social Worker. You gave me permission to do just that. This, in itself, was inappropriate for you to do in my opinion, however, I feel that you were placed in a catch 22 scenario, so to speak, and I feel that you had few other choices but to cooperate with my intentions without generating further conflict for the OCSWSSW, regardless of how questionable or wrong it was to do so, in my opinion.

Despite my previously stated intention to practice social work while referring to myself as a Child Protection Consultant I've since determined that I WILL refer to myself as a Social Worker and/or hold myself out to be a Social Worker in the future. Why? Well in the nature of equality of course! I complained about William Sweet using the title 'M.S.W' on his letterhead and that he was further holding himself out to be a Social Worker and the OCSWSSW rejected my complaint stating that there is no recourse for available to me because William Sweet was not a member of the OCSWSSW.

It's absolutely ridiculous that William Sweet was the Director of a Children's Aid Society (CAS) for decades without being a registered member of the OCSWSSW, especially given that he was both the **Regional Director AND the Executive Director**. I demand the same rights and privileges that William Sweet received from the OCSWSSW in his role as an unregistered Social Worker! Indeed, I am not associated with a CAS, however, to deny me the same rights and privileges that William Sweet received would be to discriminate against me, in my opinion, based on, what I would perceive to be, association.

William Sweet was presumably educated and experienced and you, the OCSWSSW Registrar, have obviously accepted that I, too, have the necessary qualifications, enough so that I was authorized, albeit in-directly, to practice social work. I would willingly suffer the consequences of holding myself out to be a Social Worker, exactly what William Sweet did historically, if and when the OCSWSSW demands the exact same accountability from William Sweet. I doubt the OCSWSSW ever verified that William Sweet has a M.S.W degree anyhow, so the OCSWSSW need not be concerned with me in that same regard, as differential treatment is simply not conducive to an allegedly 'free and democratic' society.

In the future, should someone complain to the OCSWSSW about my potential services, or that I'm unlawfully holding myself out to be a Social Worker, the OCSWSSW can simply relieve itself of any effort or mandated duty by simply stating to the plaintiff that "Ms. Everall is not now, nor has she ever been, a member of the OCSWSSW. We know that she potentially uses an abbreviation after her name, such as S.W, to imply that she is a Social Worker, or M.S.W to imply that she is a Marvellous Social Worker, but we let others attach similar abbreviations to their name without requiring accountability from them so the staff here at the OCSWSSW feel that it's no big deal, really. We further know that Ms. Everall potentially performs social work duties without any accountability from the OCSWSSW whatsoever, but it's cool, we let others do this too. As such we must refuse to proceed with your complaint."

I have several additional concerns and/or questions, regarding, what I perceive to be, the unlawful

practice of social work in Ontario:

# Question/Concern #4

How many unaccountable people are in Ontario with unrestricted access to vulnerable members of society because the OCSWSSW is failing in its mandate by encouraging the practice of non-registered social work? How many other people in Ontario has the OCSWSSW granted special permissions to in regards to the active practice of social work?

# **Question/Concern #5**

Surely the OCSWSSW makes reference to laws beyond that of the Ontario SWSSW Act when necessary. Why can't the OCSWSSW comment specifically on the Child and Family Services Act of Ontario (CFSA)?

## **Question/Concern #6**

What are the differences between a Regional Director and an Executive Director from the perspective of the OCSWSSW? Does Ontario Legislation compel a Regional Director and/or an Executive Director of a CAS to be a Social Worker registered to the OCSWSSW?

#### Question/Concern #7

As I mentioned before Child Protection Workers are nothing special! They are clearly defined in the CFSA as someone authorized to apprehend children and to take said children to a place of safety. The duties of a Child Protection Worker end at that point and services presumably transfer to trained and accountable Social Workers!

The duties of a Child Protection Worker are extremely limited. If and when an authorized Child Protection Worker acts beyond their clearly stated duties they then go on to act outside of Section 40 of the CFSA and, all too often, they further engage in the unlawful and obviously unaccountable practice of social work. I understand that the OCSWSSW may not be able to comment on the nature of 'Child Protection Workers', for whatever silly reason, so please allow me to ask the following two questions specific to the field of social work:

- 1. Does the OCSWSSW condone a Union that represents 'Social Workers' to accept members who are not registered to the OCSWSSW?
- 2. Does the OCSWSSW endorse those same Unions to represent individuals outside of the allegedly protected title of 'Social Worker'?

## **Question/Concern #8**

The child protection and/or welfare industry is an obvious mess! First off, the OCSWSSW should be aware that Child Protection Workers are specifically authorized to protect children and that is it! Social Workers are to provide ongoing child welfare services. The Police are technically Child Protection Workers too, however; they don't apprehend children and then take the children back to the station to start making child welfare arrangements. Duties transfer at that point from child protection to child welfare and this, I believe, is exactly why the Child and Family Services Act clearly defined the role of a Child Protection Worker – because that role is extremely limited! So we have all of these 'Child Protection Workers' out there running around with no accountability while they practice social work

and the OCSWSSW just facilitates it all? Hello? Is anyone home at the OCSWSSW?

The OCSWSSW seems to work best for those who earn their monetary livelihood from it and NOT for the people that it purports to serve. When the staff at the OCSWSSW facilitate the sort of corruption indicated in this letter they further facilitate the abuse that is occurring to the children and otherwise vulnerable people in Ontario.

#### Question/Concern #9

The OCSWSSW is deaf or ignorant to public outcry. I know for a fact that the OCSWSSW has received high volumes of public complaints against unregistered and/or suspended Social Workers and the OCSWSSW, seemingly enough, refuses to protect public interest in this regard. The organization called Canada Court Watch has developed literature titled "The Unlawful Practice of Social Work" and has held public campaigns regarding the matter and yet the OCSWSSW seemingly refuses to rectify the obvious problems.

## Question/Concern #10

It appears to me as though the OCSWSSW is colluding with other agencies and/or government departments to deceptively change the whole face of historical social work and its various applications. There appears to be an attempt to deceive the public in this regard, whereby one has to be able to read between the lines to see the real agenda of the OCSWSSW and/or it's various associates. Hopefully the OCSWSSW will be more forthcoming in the near future and collaborate openly with the public in plain language and an inclusive approach to proposed changes within the OCSWSSW and/or the legislation that governs it.

## **Question/Concern #11**

I am very concerned that the OCSWSSW is a threat to public interest and/or security. The OCSWSSW is failing in its mandate, misleading the public and exposing vulnerable people to harm that, all too often, cannot be accounted for, should it occur. In my experience public harm is most definitely occurring and I've made this very clear to the OCSWSSW! The OCSWSSW, unless it changes it's approach immediately, should be considered a public enemy in my opinion!

#### **Question/Concern #12**

I complained to the OCSWSSW once about a suspended member, Ms. Melanie Jayne Regan. What was worse than learning that Ms. Regan was never a member of the OCSWSSW was that she had actually been suspended from the OCSWSSW, for whatever reason(s). The OCSWSSW actively supported that Ms. Regan was allowed to continue in her role as Supervisor/Manger at my local CAS despite being a suspended OCSWSSW member and while receiving at least one active public complaint about her and/or her maliciously harmful practices.

#### Question/Concern #13

The complaint procedure within the OCSWSSW is absurd. It is complicated, confusing and misleading. There is no real assistance for the process and some of the rules for an investigation seem arbitrary to the particular employee assigned to manage the complaint. The process of complaining to the OCSWSSW is absolutely ineffective in my experience! It seems the alleged complaint process exists only to fool the public into believing that there is some form of accountability for those practising social work within Ontario, when really, at the end of the day, there isn't nearly as

much accountability as the general public may be led to believe.

## Question/Concern #14

When will the executives at the OCSWSSW see that the tides are turning and that the general public is waking up to the profound corruption within our mainstream public institutions? When will someone stand up and blow the corruption whistle within the OCSWSSW? I wonder if the staff at the OCSWSSW understand that a day will soon unfold where everyone in this world will be known by their associations and their subsequent deeds. I suppose that this is none of my business on personal level. I merely leave it for OCSWSSW staff members to ponder in their own hearts and minds.

What I speak to next isn't so much a question or concern as it is a request.

As the OCSWSSW has granted me, what seems to be, a sort of 'special' permission to practice social work, and whereby I am adverse to the practice of unregistered social work, I ask that the OCSWSSW consider my qualifications and experience so that I may become a lawful Social Work Practitioner. Please direct me to the information that would allow for me to have my qualifications and/or experience assessed by the OCSWSSW for potential membership with the OCSWSSW as a fully accountable Social Worker. Please don't attempt to 'railroad' me in this arena. I plan on being persistent in this regard.

I continue to seek justice for the harm that was caused to me, my children, and our lives by malicious and unaccountable Social Workers, A.K.A Child Protection Workers, determined to prevent me from telling the truth about children being raped in the foster care system. An intense witch-hunt began against me and my family by various Social Workers as I advocated for others and this witch-hunt is being covered up, in part, by the OCSWSSW in my opinion! I demand justice in my matters. Though I may be incapacitated at times directly due, at least in part, to the effects of the harm caused in my life by unaccountable Social Workers, I'm not going anywhere in the long-run until I am satisfied with the truth and justice that I receive from the OCSWSSW!

I appreciate the time and attention required to effectively address these matters! I respectfully request a prompt response. Thank you in advance!

Sincerely

Brenda Everall – Child Protection Consultant, OCSWSSW Authorized Social Work Provider.

38 County Road 1 Picton, ON K0K 2T0

brendaeverall@gmail.com

Breade Eural